

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES  
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)  
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. §371**

Attorney Docket Number

5525-0035.10

U.S. Application No. (if known, see 37 CFR §1.5)

09/424028

International Application No.

PCT/US98/11224

International Filing Date

22 May 1998

Priority Date Claimed

23 May 1997

Title of Invention

SYSTEM AND APPARATUS FOR SEQUENTIAL PROCESSING OF ANALYTES

Applicant(s) for DO/EO/US

Michael C. Pallas, Sydney Brenner, John Bridgham, Kevin Corcoran, &amp; George Golda

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
3. ☒ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. §371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. §371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(2))
  - a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☒ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR §3.28 and §3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
- ☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSTITUTE** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☒ An Associate Power of Attorney and/or Correspondence Address Change.
16. ☐ Other items or information:

420 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 NOV 1999

U.S. Application No. (if known, see 37 CFR §1.5) <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">09/424028</div>	International Application No. PCT/US98/11224	Attorney's Docket No. 5525-0035.10
---	---	---------------------------------------

17. ☒ The following fees are submitted:

**BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR §1.492(a)(1)-(5)):**  
 Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$ 970.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international Search Report prepared by the EPO or Jpo ..... \$ 840.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR §1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR §1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO..... \$ 760.00

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.482) but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33((1)-(4)) ..... \$670.00

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4)) ..... \$ 96.00

**ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT = \$670.00**

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claim priority date (37 CFR §1.492(e)).

CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total Claims	29 - 20 =	9	9 × \$ 18.00	\$162.00	
Independent Claims	8 - 3 =	5	5 × \$ 78.00	\$390.00	
Multiple Dependent Claim(s) (if applicable)			+ \$260.00	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				<b>\$1222.00</b>	

Reduction of 1/4 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity Statement must also be filed (Note 37 CFR §1.9, §1.27, §1.28)

**SUBTOTAL = \$1222.00**

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR §1.942(f)).

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR §1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR §3.28, §3.31). \$40.00 per property.

**TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$1222.00**

	Amount to be:	
	refunded	\$
	charged	\$

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$1222.00 to cover the filing fees is enclosed.

b. ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 04-0531 in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees. This sheet is provided in triplicate.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-0531.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR §1.494 or §1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR §1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Dehlinger & Associates  
 P.O. Box 60850  
 Palo Alto, CA 94306-1546

*Michael T. Gabrik*

Michael T. Gabrik  
 Registration No. 32,896


11/16/99

Date

420 Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 NOV 1999

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231, on:

Date: 11-16-99

By: 

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Pallas, et al.

SERIAL NO.: Not yet Assigned

FILED: Concurrently Herewith

FOR: SYSTEM AND APPARATUS FOR  
SEQUENTIAL PROCESSING OF ANALYTES

EXAMINER: Unknown

ART UNIT: Unknown

Preliminary Amendment

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination, and prior to calculation of the filing fee, please amend the application as follows:

In the Specification

Please amend the specification on Page 1, line 2, after the title, to add --This application claims priority to application no. PCT/US98/11224 filed May 22, 1998, which claims priority to application no. 08/862,610 filed May 23, 1997, which are both incorporated herein by reference.--

In the Claims

Please amend claim 3 as follows:

3. The apparatus of claim 1, [or 2 claim] wherein said planar cavity of said flow chamber further has a optically transmissive ceiling and a floor, the optically transmissive ceiling and the floor being parallel to one another and the floor having a plurality of parallel ridges, the parallel ridges being disposed parallel to said axis of said flow chamber, and

the parallel ridges being spaced so that said microparticles in said planar cavity form rows between the parallel ridges.

Please add claims 8-29 as follows:

--8. A flow chamber, comprising:

an inlet at one end of said flow chamber;

an outlet at another end of said flow chamber;

a planar cavity in fluid communication with each of said inlet and outlet, wherein said planar cavity holds a closely packed planar array of microparticles, each having an analyte anchored thereto, during a sequence of processing steps such that processing reagents can access each microparticle during each step of a process.

9. The flow chamber of claim 8, wherein closely packed with reference to the planar array of microparticles requires either that the number of microparticles per unit area in the planar array is at least eighty percent of the number of microparticles in a hexagonal array of equal area or that the average distance between centers of adjacent microparticles is less than two microparticle diameters.

10. The flow chamber of claim 8, wherein the planar comprises an optically transmissive ceiling and a floor, the optically transmissive ceiling and the floor being substantially parallel to one another and the floor having a plurality of parallel ridges disposed parallel to a longitudinal axis of the flow chamber and spaced so that the microparticles in the planar cavity form rows between the parallel ridges.

11. A detection apparatus for detecting a sequence of optical signals from each of a plurality of microparticles, comprising:

an optical train for collecting and converting the optical signals from the microparticles into digital signals;

an imaging device for recording a plurality of digital images of the microparticles; and

signal tracking means for correlating the optical signals from each of the microparticles in each of the digital images of

the optical signals to form for each microparticle a sequence of optical signals.

12. A device-readable medium embodying a program of instructions for execution by said device to perform a method of generating images of a planar array of microparticles to track positions of the microparticles during a sequence of processing steps, said program of instructions comprising instructions for:

rendering a plurality of digital images of the planar array of the microparticles during the sequence of processing steps based on optical signals generated at the microparticles; and

processing the plurality of digital images including correlating optical signals generated at each microparticle with its corresponding image in each of the plurality of digital images to track each microparticle during the sequence of processing steps.

13. The device-readable medium of claim 12, wherein said processing further includes recording at least one optical characteristic of each microparticle to determine the approximate center of each microparticle.

14. The device-readable medium of claim 13, wherein said processing further includes assigning a plurality of pixels to each microparticle for determining at least one property of the optical signals generated at each microparticle.

15. The device-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the number of pixels assigned to a given microparticle is determined based on at least one of:

(i) the degree to which the approximated center of the given microparticle is likely to deviate from the geometric center;

(ii) the size of the given microparticle; and

(iii) the uniformity of the diameter and shape of the given microparticle.

16. The device-readable medium of claim 14, wherein an initial pixel of the plurality of pixels is assigned to each microparticle which encloses the approximated center of that microparticle.

17. The device-readable medium of claim 16, wherein, after the initial pixel is assigned additional pixels, immediately adjacent the initial pixel, are assigned to each microparticle.

18. A method of generating images of a planar array of microparticles to track positions of the microparticles during a sequence of processing steps, said method comprising the steps of:

rendering a plurality of digital images of the planar array of the microparticles during the sequence of processing steps based on optical signals generated at the microparticles; and

processing the plurality of digital images including correlating optical signals generated at each microparticle with its corresponding image in each of the plurality of digital images to track each microparticle during the sequence of processing steps.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein said processing further includes recording at least one optical characteristic of each microparticle to determine the approximate center of each microparticle.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein said processing further includes assigning a plurality of pixels to each microparticle for determining at least one property of the optical signals generated at each microparticle.

21. The method of claim 20, wherein the number of pixels assigned to a given microparticle is determined based on at least one of:

- (i) the degree to which the approximated center of the given microparticle is likely to deviate from the geometric center;
- (ii) the size of the given microparticle; and
- (iii) the uniformity of the diameter and shape of the given microparticle.

22. The method of claim 20, wherein an initial pixel of the plurality of pixels is assigned to each microparticle which encloses the approximated center of that microparticle.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein, after the initial pixel is assigned additional pixels, immediately adjacent the initial pixel, are assigned to each microparticle.

24. An array of polynucleotides, comprising:  
a closely packed planar array of microparticles; and  
a plurality of different polynucleotides attached to each of the microparticles such that each different polynucleotide is attached to a different microparticle.

25. The array of claim 24, wherein closely packed with reference to the planar array of microparticles requires either that the number of microparticles per unit area in the planar array is at least eighty percent of the number of microparticles in a hexagonal array of equal area or that the average distance between between centers of adjacent microparticles is less than two microparticle diameters.

26. The array of claim 24, wherein the diameter of each of the microparticles is between about 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

27. The array of claim 24, wherein the plurality of different polynucleotides comprises a cDNA library.

28. The array of claim 24, wherein the planar array of microparticles is disposed in a flow chamber.

29. The apparatus of claim 2, wherein said planar cavity of said flow chamber further has a optically transmissive ceiling and a floor, the optically transmissive ceiling and the floor being parallel to one another and the floor having a plurality of parallel ridges, the parallel ridges being disposed parallel to said axis of said flow chamber, and the parallel ridges being spaced so that said microparticles in said planar cavity form rows between the parallel ridges.--

REMARKS

Entry of the present amendment prior to examination is respectfully requested. By this Amendment, claim 3 has been amended, and claims 8-29 have been added. Claims 1-28 are pending.

The specification has been amended to add the priority information. New claim 29 parallels claim 3, except that claim 29 depends from claim 2. New claims 8-28 recite further features of applicants' invention and are supported by the original application disclosure in at least the following locations:

Page 5 through page 16, line 24.

No new matter is added by this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

*Michael T. Gabrik*

Michael T. Gabrik  
Registration No. 32,896

Date: 11/16/99

**Correspondence Address:**

Dehlinger & Associates  
P.O. Box 60850  
Palo Alto, CA 943061546



SYSTEM AND APPARATUS FOR SEQUENTIAL  
PROCESSING OF ANALYTES

Field of the Invention

The invention relates generally to systems and apparatus for carrying out large scale  
5 parallel reactions on solid phase supports, and more particularly, to systems and apparatus for  
monitoring and carrying out reactions on arrays of microparticles.

BACKGROUND

The desire to understand and analyze complex chemical and biological systems has led to  
10 the development of analytical techniques that employ parallelization and miniaturization of  
analyte processing, e.g. Graber et al, Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 9: 14-18 (1998); Fodor et  
al, Nature, 364: 555-556 (1993); Meier-Ewert et al, Nature, 361: 375-376 (1993); Taylor et al,  
Nucleic Acids Research, 25: 3164-3168 (1997); Garner et al, BioTechniques, 14: 112-115 (1993);  
Lam et al, Nature, 354: 82-84 (1991); Ohlmeyer et al, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 90: 10922-10926  
15 (1993); DeRisi et al, Science, 278: 680-686 (1997); Wodicka et al, Nature Biotechnology, 15:  
1359-1367 (1997); and the like.

Many of these techniques employ microparticles for synthesizing analytes or for  
capturing analytes for subsequent analysis, e.g. Lam et al (cited above); Benkovic et al,  
International patent application PCT/US95/03355; Gavin et al, International patent application  
20 PCT/EP97/02039; Brenner et al, International patent application PCT/US96/09513, and the like.  
Even though the properties of different types of microparticles can vary widely, microparticles  
generally facilitate the construction and manipulation of large repertoires of analytes with  
minimal reagent and/or sample consumption. However, handling and manipulating large  
numbers of microparticles, e.g. tens to hundreds of thousands, for carrying out specific chemical  
25 and/or biochemical analyses gives rise to many difficulties, including whether sufficient signal is  
generated on individual microparticles for detection, how to track individual microparticles  
through multiple steps of a process, mechanical strength of microparticles under pressure or flow  
conditions, the ability to uniformly deliver reagents to microparticles for carrying out steps of an  
analytical process, whether clumping or other inappropriate interaction of microparticles and/or  
30 reagents occurs, the degree to which analytes and/or processing reagents adsorb onto vessel  
walls, whether protein reagents or analytes denature causing a disruption of reagent distribution  
and access, whether adjacent microparticles will interact, e.g. to degrade or obscure a signal or to  
inhibit reagent access, and the like.

In view of these difficulties, it would be desirable to provide a system and apparatus for  
35 handling and processing multiple solid phase supports, such as populations of microparticles. It

would be especially desirable if such system and apparatus permitted the tracking and analysis of multiple analytes anchored to separate microparticles through a sequence of several processing and/or analysis steps.

5

#### Summary of the Invention

Accordingly, objects of our invention include, but are not limited to, providing a system and apparatus for sequentially delivering reagents to a population of analytes anchored to separate microparticles; providing an apparatus for simultaneously monitoring the interactions of processing reagents and analytes on the surfaces of microparticles disposed in a planar array; providing an apparatus for detecting optical signals generated by, or as the result of, interactions of processing reagents and analytes on the surfaces of microparticles disposed in a planar array; providing an apparatus for detecting pluralities of optical signals, each such plurality being generated at the surface of the same microparticle as a result of interactions between processing reagents and an analyte anchored to the surface of such microparticle; providing an apparatus for simultaneously tracking the positions of individual microparticles in a population of microparticles disposed in a flow chamber as a closely packed planar array; and providing a system and apparatus for simultaneously analyzing the nucleotide sequences of a population of polynucleotides anchored to microparticles disposed in a planar array in a flow chamber.

Our invention achieves these and other objects with an apparatus comprising a flow chamber for disposing a population of microparticles in a planar array; fluidic means for sequentially delivering processing reagents from one or more reagent reservoirs to the flow chamber; and detection means for detecting a sequence of optical signals from each of the microparticles of the population. Preferably, the sequences of optical signals are generated as a result of a multi-step analytical process, such as nucleic acid sequence analysis.

In one aspect, the invention provides a system for simultaneously monitoring a population of analytes which includes the apparatus of the invention, microparticles carrying the analytes, and software means for processing images of, and/or optical signals generated by, the microparticles when disposed in a planar array. Preferably, the flow chamber includes constraining means for restricting the movement of microparticles during cycles of reagent delivery.

In another aspect, the invention includes a system for simultaneously analyzing the nucleotide sequences of a population of polynucleotides. Copies of each kind of polynucleotide in the population are sorted onto and anchored to one or more microparticles so that a population of loaded microparticles is formed. Loaded microparticles are disposed in a planar array in a flow chamber through which processing reagents are sequentially delivered to the loaded microparticles from one or more reagent reservoirs by a fluidic means. Optical signals generated by, or produced as a result of, the interaction of processing reagents and polynucleotides on the microparticles are imaged by a detection means. Preferably, when analysis includes determining the nucleotide sequence of a portion of each polynucleotide on the different microparticles, massively parallel

signature sequencing (MPSS) analysis is employed, e.g. as described in Albrecht et al, International patent application PCT/US97/09472.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

5           Figure 1a is a schematic representation of a flow chamber and fluidics and detection systems for observing a planar array of microparticles loaded with analyte molecules, such as cDNA molecules for sequencing.

          Figure 1b is a schematic of a preferred holder for a flow chamber.

          Figure 2a is bilateral cut away view of a flow chamber.

10          Figure 2b is a top view of a flow chamber.

          Figure 2c is an illustration of microparticles being loaded into a flow chamber.

          Figures 3a through 3d schematically illustrate microparticle constraining means for a flow chamber.

15          Figure 4 is a schematic representation of a device for loading microparticles into a flow chamber.

          Figure 5 is a schematic representation of a fluidics system for use with the invention.

          Figures 6a and 6b schematically illustrate top-lighting and back-lighting approaches for determining microparticle centers in an array.

20          Figure 7 schematically illustrates the assignment of pixels to microparticles for data processing.

          Figure 8 is a flow chart summarizing operation of the system of the invention.

### Definitions

25          "Complement" or "tag complement" as used herein in reference to oligonucleotide tags refers to an oligonucleotide to which a oligonucleotide tag specifically hybridizes to form a perfectly matched duplex or triplex. In embodiments where specific hybridization results in a triplex, the oligonucleotide tag may be selected to be either double stranded or single stranded. Thus, where triplexes are formed, the term "complement" is meant to encompass either a double stranded complement of a single stranded oligonucleotide tag or a single stranded complement of  
30          a double stranded oligonucleotide tag.

35          The term "oligonucleotide" as used herein includes linear oligomers of natural or modified monomers or linkages, including deoxyribonucleosides, ribonucleosides, anomeric forms thereof, peptide nucleic acids (PNAs), and the like, capable of specifically binding to a target polynucleotide by way of a regular pattern of monomer-to-monomer interactions, such as Watson-Crick type of base pairing, base stacking, Hoogsteen or reverse Hoogsteen types of base pairing, or the like. Usually monomers are linked by phosphodiester bonds or analogs thereof to form oligonucleotides ranging in size from a few monomeric units, e.g. 3-4, to several tens of monomeric units, e.g. 40-60. Whenever an oligonucleotide is represented by a sequence of

letters, such as "ATGCCTG," it will be understood that the nucleotides are in 5'→3' order from left to right and that "A" denotes deoxyadenosine, "C" denotes deoxycytidine, "G" denotes deoxyguanosine, and "T" denotes thymidine, unless otherwise noted. Usually oligonucleotides of the invention comprise the four natural nucleotides; however, they may also comprise non-natural nucleotide analogs. It is clear to those skilled in the art when oligonucleotides having natural or non-natural nucleotides may be employed, e.g. where processing by enzymes is called for, usually oligonucleotides consisting of natural nucleotides are required.

"Perfectly matched" in reference to a duplex means that the poly- or oligonucleotide strands making up the duplex form a double stranded structure with one other such that every nucleotide in each strand undergoes Watson-Crick basepairing with a nucleotide in the other strand. The term also comprehends the pairing of nucleoside analogs, such as deoxyinosine, nucleosides with 2-aminopurine bases, and the like, that may be employed. In reference to a triplex, the term means that the triplex consists of a perfectly matched duplex and a third strand in which every nucleotide undergoes Hoogsteen or reverse Hoogsteen association with a basepair of the perfectly matched duplex. Conversely, a "mismatch" in a duplex between a tag and an oligonucleotide means that a pair or triplet of nucleotides in the duplex or triplex fails to undergo Watson-Crick and/or Hoogsteen and/or reverse Hoogsteen bonding.

As used herein, "nucleoside" includes the natural nucleosides, including 2'-deoxy and 2'-hydroxyl forms, e.g. as described in Kornberg and Baker, DNA Replication, 2nd Ed. (Freeman, San Francisco, 1992). "Analog" in reference to nucleosides includes synthetic nucleosides having modified base moieties and/or modified sugar moieties, e.g. described by Scheit, Nucleotide Analogs (John Wiley, New York, 1980); Uhlman and Peyman, Chemical Reviews, 90: 543-584 (1990), or the like, with the only proviso that they are capable of specific hybridization. Such analogs include synthetic nucleosides designed to enhance binding properties, reduce complexity, increase specificity, and the like.

As used herein "sequence determination" or "determining a nucleotide sequence" in reference to polynucleotides includes determination of partial as well as full sequence information of the polynucleotide. That is, the term includes sequence comparisons, fingerprinting, and like levels of information about a target polynucleotide, as well as the express identification and ordering of nucleosides, usually each nucleoside, in a target polynucleotide. The term also includes the determination of the identification, ordering, and locations of one, two, or three of the four types of nucleotides within a target polynucleotide. For example, in some embodiments sequence determination may be effected by identifying the ordering and locations of a single type of nucleotide, e.g. cytosines, within the target polynucleotide "CATCGC ..." so that its sequence is represented as a binary code, e.g. "100101 ..." for "C-(not C)-(not C)-C-(not C)-C ..." and the like.

As used herein, the term "complexity" in reference to a population of polynucleotides means the number of different species of molecule present in the population.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The system and apparatus of the invention is particularly applicable to the analysis of molecules that can be anchored in populations of duplicate copies to particulate solid phase supports. That is, in accordance with the invention, each analyte of a population is present on at least one microparticle in a quantity sufficient for the type of analysis being performed. For example, if combinatorially synthesized peptides on the microparticles are screened against a soluble receptor protein for detecting those that form stable complexes, the number of peptides available for binding on the surface of the microparticles must be large enough to generate a detectable signal when a binding event occurs. Of course, many additional factors well known in the art will present additional design constraints, such as the nature of the system for generating optical signals, the concentration of receptors, pH, salt concentration, the density and accessibility of the peptides on the microparticle surface, the solvent system employed, and the like. Analyte populations particularly relevant for use with the present apparatus include combinatorial libraries synthesized on microparticle supports, e.g. as disclosed in Lam et al, Chem. Rev., 97: 411-448 (1997); or Dower et al, U.S. patent 5,708,153, and polynucleotide libraries sorted onto microparticle supports, e.g. as disclosed in Brenner (cited above).

Figure 1a is a schematic representation of an embodiment of the invention for detecting fluorescent signals. Flow chamber (100) having inlet (102), outlet (104) and planar cavity (106) holds microparticles in a planar array from which optical signals (108) generated by analytes and/or reactants on microparticles can be collected and imaged. Flow chamber (100) is operationally associated with fluidic system (112) and detection system (114), so that delivery of fluids and collection of signals is under control of computer (116). Preferably, optical signals are collected by microscope (118) and are imaged onto a solid state imaging device, such as charge-coupled device (CCD) (120) which is capable of generating a digital image of the physical image of the microparticle array with sufficient resolution for individual microparticles to be distinguished. For fluorescent signals, detection system (114) usually includes appropriate bandpass filter (122) for optical signal (108), bandpass filter (124) for excitation beam (128) generated by light source (126), and other standard components. As illustrated, a conventional fluorescence microscope is preferred which is configured for epiillumination. There is a great deal of guidance in the art for selecting appropriate fluorescence microscopes, e.g. Wang and Taylor, editors, Fluorescence Microscopy of Living Cells in Culture, Parts A and B, Methods in Cell Biology, Vols. 29 and 30 (Academic Press, New York, 1989).

A key feature of the invention is flow chamber (100). Body (130) of flow chamber (100) preferably comprised inlet (102), outlet (104) and planar cavity (106) which are formed by standard micromachining techniques, e.g. Ekstrom et al, International patent application PCT/SE91/00327; Brown, U.S. patent 4,911,782; Harrison et al, Anal. Chem. 64: 1926-1932 (1992); and the like. Transparent plate (132) is sealingly attached to body (130) to form an

operational flow chamber (100). Body (130) may be constructed from any of several different materials including glass, silicon, polyethylene, polyester, teflon, other plastics, and the like. Preferably, transparent plate (132) is glass or quartz; and, when body (130) and transparent plate (132) are glass or silicon, transparent plate (132) is preferably attached to body (130) by anodic bonding, e.g. Pomerantz, U.S. patent 3,397,279. Key functions of the flow chamber include i) holding a population of microparticles in a substantially immobilized planar array, or monolayer, during a sequence of processing steps, ii) ensuring that processing reagents can access each microparticle during each step of a process, and iii) minimizing processing reagent usage. The degree of immobilization required may vary among different embodiments. Generally, more movement of microparticles within a planar array increases the computational and measurement burden of tracking positions of microparticles by image processing software. Design trade-offs therefore exist between the use of image processing software and the use of physical and/or chemical means for constraining microparticle movement. Preferably, physical and/or chemical means are employed to constrain microparticle movement within the planar array of microparticles in flow chamber (100). Such means are referred to herein as "movement constraining means." Most preferably, physical, or mechanical, movement constraining means are employed.

Preferably, microparticles are disposed in flow chamber (100) in a closely packed planar array. As used herein, "closely packed" in reference to a planar array means either that the number of microparticles per unit area of a planar array is at least eighty percent of the number of microparticles in a hexagonal array of equal area, or that the average distance between centers of adjacent microparticles is less than two microparticle diameters. As used herein, a "hexagonal" array of microparticles means a planar array of microparticles in which every microparticle in the array contacts at least six other adjacent microparticles, as shown in Figure 3a.

Additions features of flow chamber (100) of a preferred embodiment are illustrated in Figures 2a through 2c. Figure 2a is a cross sectional view along a longitudinal plane that bisects flow chamber (100). The same view, in a more abstracted rendition, is shown in Figure 2c. In both Figures, inlet (102) fluidly communicates with planar cavity (106) and outlet (104). Microparticles (200) carrying analytes enter inlet (102) and are carried by a suspending buffer to planar cavity (106) where they become packed against dam (202) which prevents the microparticles from exiting the flow chamber through outlet (104). Structurally, dam (202) may be formed by a sudden reduction of the vertical dimension of planar cavity (106). Preferably, vertical dimension (204) of planar cavity (106) is selected so that microparticles (200) are constrained to a plane, i.e. a monolayer, when they pack against dam (202). More preferably, vertical dimension (204) is selected to be between about 120 to 150 percent of the diameter of the microparticles employed. For example, when microparticles are employed that have diameters of 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , vertical dimension (204) may be 7  $\mu\text{m}$ . Magnetic microparticles may be constrained to a plane and constrained from movement by applying a magnetic field so that the microparticles are

attracted to the ceiling or to the floor of planar cavity (106). Width (206) of planar cavity (106) is not a critical dimension; however, for convenience and efficiency, width (206) may be selected to correspond to the dimensions of the signal collection region of detection system (114). Such regions labeled 1 through k in Figure 2b are referred to herein as "tiles." That is, the region of planar cavity (106) occupied by microparticles may be divided into non-overlapping areas, referred to as "tiles," that cover the entire occupied region. Figure 2b, which is a top view of the flow chamber of Figure 2a, also shows inlet (102), planar cavity (106), dam (202), and outlet (104) that lie in sequence along axis (217) of flow chamber (100).

Many movement constraining means may be selected for use with the flow chamber, either alone or in combination. Such means include loading microparticles with trace amounts of a chemically reactive species which may be activated and cross-linked; providing physical, or mechanical structures, such as ridges, within the flow chamber; providing magnetically responsive microparticles which may be immobilized by an external magnetic field; providing a second population of microparticles that are loaded into a flow chamber after the analyte-containing population, which forces the analyte-containing population against dam (202); and the like. Exemplary chemically reactive species for use with nucleic acid analytes are disclosed in Summerton et al, U.S. patent 4,123,610; Gamper et al, J. Mol. Biol., 197: 349-362 (1987); Hearst, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 39: 291-315 (1988); Pielec et al, Nucleic Acids Research, 17: 8967-8978 (1989); and the like.

Preferably, microparticle movement is constrained by providing a flow chamber with planar cavity (106) containing a plurality of ridges running parallel to axis (217) of the flow chamber, i.e. parallel to the direction of reagent flow, so that microparticles are arranged into rows, which may be single-file, or several microparticles wide, as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. The particular selection may depend on several factors, including the degree of immobilization desired, constraints imposed by the fabrication technique used to construct the flow chamber, the amount of reagent access desired, the degree to which flow resistance or back-pressure can be tolerated, and the like. Figures 3a and 3b illustrate two possible distances between parallel ridges. In Figure 3a, the distance is selected to permit maximal packing of microparticles into a hexagonal array, and in Figure 3b, the distance is selected for less efficient packing, but for increased reagent access to microparticle surfaces. Figures 3c and 3d are axial views of the flow chamber showing the microparticle arrangements of Figures 3a and 3b, respectively.

In some embodiments, such as those employing enzymatic processes, the inner surfaces of flow chamber (100) may be passivated, that is, treated to render such surfaces inert and/or non-adsorbing with respect to enzymes. The type of treatment depends on the sensitivity of the enzymes used in the process, and their affinity for the surfaces. Surface treatments include silanization, e.g. with commercially available reagents (Pierce, Rockford, IL); and/or adsorption of various blocking polymers, such as poly-a-alanine, polyglycine, polyadenylic acid, polymaleimide, polyvinylpyrrolidone, or the like, e.g. Shoffner et al, Nucleic Acids Research, 24:

375-379 (1996). Preferably, glass inner surfaces of flow chamber (100) are covalently coated with a neutral coating, such as allyl methacrylate, using the technique disclosed in Sandoval et al, U.S. patent 5,326,738, which is incorporated by reference.

Figure 1b illustrates flow chamber (100) mounted between holders (140) and (142) which  
5 sealingly connect inlet (102) to inlet tubing (144) and outlet (104) to outlet tubing (146),  
respectively. Preferably, holder (140) contains a rotary valve (not shown) operated by actuator  
(148) that shunts fluid flowing through inlet tubing (144) to inlet (102) or to waste line (150).  
Such a valve minimizes the amount of process reagent from a previous step that must be passed  
through flow chamber (100) prior to the initiation of the next process step. That is, such a rotary  
10 valve permits reagent in inlet tubing (144) to be shunted to waste and replaced by processing  
reagent required for the next step in the process being executed. Preferably, for use in DNA  
analysis, peltier block (152) is employed to control temperature in flow chamber (100) and the  
entire assembly including flow chamber (100) and peltier block (152) is mounted on xyz-stage  
(154) which is under control of computer (116).

15 Preferably, microparticles are loaded into flow chamber (100) prior to attachment of  
holders (140) and (142) and the initiation of processing steps. Figure 4 illustrates a microparticle  
loader for loading microparticles into flow chamber (100). Flow chamber (100) is mounted  
between holders (400), (402), (404), and (406). Holders (400) and (402) sealingly clamp onto the  
inlet end (101) of flow chamber (100) and holders (404) and (406) sealingly clamp onto the outlet  
20 end (103) of flow chamber (100) so that inlet tubing (408) is in fluid communication with outlet  
tubing (410) when the microparticle loader is assembled. Inlet tubing (408) is connected to  
syringe (416) which is used to drive fluid through flow chamber (100). Holder (400) is  
constructed to have conical passage (412) which narrows to match the diameter of inlet (102) of  
flow chamber (100). After assembly of holders (400), (402), (404), and (406) a suspension of  
25 microparticles is placed in the conical passage after which fitting (414) is sealingly connected to  
holder (400). Fluid pressure and flow generated by syringe (416) then drives the microparticles  
into planar cavity (106) and against dam (202). In a preferred embodiment which employs 5  $\mu$ m  
diameter GMA microparticles carrying DNA, approximately 500 thousand microparticles are  
loaded into flow chamber (100) by placing 5  $\mu$ L of a 100 thousand microparticle/ $\mu$ L solution (TE  
30 buffer, pH 8.0, Sambrook et al, Molecular Cloning, Second Edition (Cold Spring Harbor  
Laboratory, New York, 1989)) in conical passage (412), attaching fitting (414), and using syringe  
(416) to drive the microparticles through inlet (102) and into planar cavity (106). After loading,  
holders (400), (402), (404), and (406) are removed from flow chamber (100), which is then  
mounted on the apparatus as shown in Figure 1b.

35 Preferably, process reagents are delivered to flow chamber (100) by the fluidic system  
illustrated in Figure 5 which has the capacity to handle many different reagents for complex  
analytical processes. In the illustrated embodiment, which is used in connection with DNA  
sequencing, the fluidics system may accommodate up to 38 reagents, including wash buffers,



rinses, enzymes, hybridization probes, adaptors, and the like. Preferably, the function of the fluidics system is the sequential metering of selected processing reagents to flow chamber (100). Inlet (102) of flow chamber (100) is sealingly connected to holder (140) which contains rotary valve (actuator shown as 148) (not shown in Figure 5). The function of the rotary valve is

5 described above. A variety of means may be employed for moving processing reagents from reservoirs, through tubing, and into flow chamber (100), including gravity feed, pressure feed, and pumps, e.g. peristaltic, syringe, and the like. Preferably, common syringe pump (500) is employed for removing predetermined amounts processing reagents from reservoirs and for forcing such reagents through flow chamber (100) at a predetermined flow rate. Under control of computer

10 (116), pump (500) in operational association with valve block (502) and rotary valve (504) removes a predetermined amount of processing reagent from a selected reservoir by siphoning reagent out of the reservoir on the out-stroke of plunger (501) of pump (500). On the in-stroke of plunger (501), rotary valve (504) directs processing reagent from tubing (503) to reservoir (505) of pump (500). On the out-stroke of plunger (501), state of rotary valve (504) is changed to direct

15 processing reagent from reservoir (505) to inlet tubing (144). Tubing (503) connects rotary valve (504) with manifold (508) which, in turn, is connected to a plurality (five shown) of banks of zero dead volume valves (506). Zero dead volume valves (506) connect individual reservoirs holding processing reagents to a common passageway (not shown in Figure 5) that runs through each of the banks of valves connecting to manifold (508).

20 A preferred zero dead volume valve is described in U.S. patents 4,558, 845 and 4,703,913, which are incorporated by reference. Process reagents from reservoirs (514) are distributed to the banks of dead volume valves by way of manifold (510). Alternative valve blocks for controlling delivery of process reagents to flow chamber (100) include the valve matrix disclosed in U.S. patent 5,203,368.

25 An important feature of detection means (114) of the invention is the ability to keep track of individual microparticles through multiple process steps and/or cycles. In connection with such tracking, detection means (114) periodically records optical characteristics of individual microparticles that provide a close approximation microparticle centers. Preferably, when trans-illumination, or "back lighting" of flow chamber (100) is possible, the optical characteristic is the

30 focused back light from the microparticles. That is, in reference to Figure 6a, back light (600) passes vertically through flow chamber (100) where it is focused by microparticles (602) onto focal plane (604). The image of focal plane (604) in this configuration appears as a field of bright points, where each point is located at the approximate center of its corresponding microparticle. In an epiillumination system, light from above flow chamber (100), i.e. "top light (610)," is

35 directed from a vertical direction onto microparticles (602) where it scatters from the top surface of the microparticles. In this configuration, the optical characteristic is the scatter center of a microparticle. Thus, an image is collected from the plane containing scatter centers (612)

resulting from such top lighting. As with focused back lighting, the image of the scatter centers provides a convenient way to readily determine the approximate centers of the microparticles.

In the preferred image processing approach, once microparticle centers (700) are determined, pixels (702) are assigned for determining characteristics, e.g. intensity, of an optical signal generated at each microparticle (602). The size of microparticle (602) and pixel area determine how many pixels are assigned to each microparticle. In making such an assignment, important factors include the degree to which the calculated center of a microparticle (as described above) is likely to deviate from the geometric center, the extent to which optical signal collected from the edge of an image contains spurious information (e.g. signal from an overlapping or adjacent microparticle), the uniformity of microparticle diameter and shape, and the like. In the preferred apparatus of the invention, 5  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter microparticles are employed and the pixel dimensions of the CCD detector are about .9  $\mu\text{m}$  x .9  $\mu\text{m}$ . Thus, nine pixels fit easily within the interior of a microparticle image with a margin of at least about 1  $\mu\text{m}$  between any pixel and the edge of the microparticle image. In the preferred embodiment, an initial pixel is assigned which encloses the computed center of a microparticle, e.g. pixel "5" in Figure 7. Thereafter, additional pixels are assigned, usually the immediately adjacent pixels. Preferably, the value of the optical signal generated by a process at the surface of a microparticle is the average value of the optical signals collected by pixels assigned to that microparticle.

The general operation of the system of the preferred embodiment is summarized by the flow chart of Figure 8. At the start (800) of an analysis, microparticles with anchored analytes have been loaded into flow chamber (100) which has been operationally mounted in holders 140 and 142. The initial operation is the calibration of the microparticle focal plane (802). That is, the vertical, or "z", position of the xyz-stage is determined which optimizes the focus of either the scatter centers of the microparticles, i.e. the microparticle tops for top-lighting, or the focus points of the microparticles for back-lighting. The optimization is carried out by a conventional autofocus algorithm which provides an image contrast function constructed from a predetermined sample of regions within a collected image. For example, the contrast function may be evaluated iteratively for sequence of z-positions so that the differences of successive values of the contrast function can be determined. These are tested until a difference is found below a predetermined threshold, which is taken as the maximum of the contrast function. Focal plane location is taken as the z position which maximizes the image contrast function. Such calibration is carried out for each tile, if more than one tile is employed, so that a correction table is constructed of changes in stage setting values with respect to the settings of the first tile that are required to bring the system into focus upon translation to subsequent tiles. These values are stored by computer (116).

After calibration, process steps are initiated (804) by way of a fluidics controller operationally associated with computer (116). After process steps (804) are completed, stage settings are adjusted to place the first tile into focus using the autofocus algorithm (806), which

places the focal plane of the microscope objective approximately at the tops of the microparticles. Stage settings are then adjusted (808) to bring the focal plane of the microscope objective to the approximate centers of the microparticles, as illustrated (606) in Figures 6a and 6b. The amount of stage movement in this re-focusing depends on the diameter of the microparticles being used.

5 After appropriate selection of filters (124) and (122), a fluorescent image of the first tile is collected (810) and transferred to data server (812). Fluorescent images are collected on the plane of the microparticle centers because of imperfections in the planar array. That is, microparticles in planar cavity (106) do not lie in a perfect planar array for a variety of reasons. For example, some microparticles are elevated above others as a result of packing into the flow chamber; there is  
10 some variability in the size and shape of the microparticles; and, the floor of planar cavity (106) may be uneven. After the fluorescent image is collected, the focal plane of the microscope objective is returned (814) to the microparticle focal plane, where another image is collected (816) for the purpose of computing microparticle centers as described above. The image of microparticle centers is transferred to data server (812) where data processor (818) assigns pixels  
15 of the fluorescent image to each microparticle center, as described above. After the image of microparticle centers is collected (816), the stage is moved so that an image of the next tile can be collected (822). If there are no further tiles of microparticles (820), then the next steps and/or cycles of the process are executed (826). If there are no further process steps (824), then the process is complete and the apparatus is placed in a holding mode.

20 Optical signals collected in the course of analysis may be generated by a variety of mechanisms, including absorption and fluorescence, chemiluminescence, electrochemiluminescence, or bioluminescence emission. Extensive guidance is available for selecting appropriate optical signaling means, e.g. Kessler, editor, Nonradioactive Labeling and Detection of Biomolecules (Springer-Verlag, Berlin); Keller and Manak, DNA Probes, Second  
25 Edition (Stockton Press, New York, 1993); and the like. Preferably, optical signals generated in processing steps are fluorescence emissions.

### Microparticles

An important feature of the system of the invention is the use of microparticles for  
30 carrying analytes. A variety of microparticles may be employed depending on particular applications. Generally, microparticles must consist of a material compatible with the reagents and chemistry of the process steps being carried out and microparticle must be substantially mechanically rigid so that they retain their shape and size during process steps. Preferably, as used herein, the term "substantially mechanically rigid" means that microparticles neither swell  
35 nor contract by more than ten percent (as measure by diameter) in any process solvent or reagent. Preferably, microparticles are microspheres of uniform size, i.e. microparticles are monodisperse. More preferably, the diameters of spherical microparticles have a coefficient of variation less than five percent, and most preferably, less than two percent. Microparticle diameters are in the range

of from 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Preferably, microparticle diameters range from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . Most preferably, microparticle diameters are in the range of 1 to 5  $\mu\text{m}$ . Suitable microparticle materials include inorganic support materials such as glass, e.g. controlled-pore glass, Balltoni beads; silica, zirconia, and the like, e.g. Weetall, *Methods in Enzymology*, 44: 134-148 (1976); and organic support materials such as highly cross-linked polystyrene, polyacrylate, polymethylmethacrylate, glycidylmethacrylate (GMA), Dynabeads (Dynal, Oslo, Norway), and the like, Rembaum et al, U.S. patent 4,046,720; Hodge and Sherrington, editors, pages 435-456, *Polymer-supported Reactions in Organic Synthesis* (Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980); Andrus et al, U.S. patent 5,047,524; and the like.

10

#### Attaching Identical Copies of Polynucleotides to Microparticles by Solid Phase Cloning

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, identical copies of polynucleotides from a population are anchored to separate microparticles by solid phase cloning, i.e. the use of oligonucleotide tags for sorting polynucleotides onto microparticles such that only the same kind of polynucleotide will be attached to the same microparticle, e.g. Brenner, U.S. patent 5,604,097, which is incorporated by reference. This condition is accomplished by taking a sample of the full ensemble of tag-polynucleotide conjugates. (It is acceptable that identical polynucleotides have different tags, as it merely results in the same polynucleotide being operated on or analyzed twice in two different locations.) Such sampling can be carried out either overtly--for example, by taking a small volume from a larger mixture--after the tags have been attached to the polynucleotides, it can be carried out inherently as a secondary effect of the techniques used to process the polynucleotides and tags, or sampling can be carried out both overtly and as an inherent part of processing steps.

Oligonucleotide tags for use with the invention are members of a minimally cross-hybridizing set of oligonucleotides. The sequences of oligonucleotides of such a set differ from the sequences of every other member of the same set by at least two nucleotides. Thus, each member of such a set cannot form a duplex (or triplex) with the complement of any other member with less than two mismatches. Complements of oligonucleotide tags of the invention, referred to herein as "tag complements," may comprise natural nucleotides or non-natural nucleotide analogs. Tag complements are attached to microparticles.

Minimally cross-hybridizing sets of oligonucleotide tags and tag complements may be synthesized either combinatorially or individually depending on the size of the set desired and the degree to which cross-hybridization is sought to be minimized (or stated another way, the degree to which specificity is sought to be enhanced). For example, a minimally cross-hybridizing set may consist of a set of individually synthesized 10-mer sequences that differ from each other by at least 4 nucleotides, such set having a maximum size of 332 (when composed of 3 kinds of nucleotides and counted using a computer program such as disclosed in

Appendix Ic of International patent application PCT/US96/09513). Alternatively, a minimally cross-hybridizing set of oligonucleotide tags may also be assembled combinatorially from subunits which themselves are selected from a minimally cross-hybridizing set. For example, a set of minimally cross-hybridizing 12-mers differing from one another by at least three

5 nucleotides may be synthesized by assembling 3 subunits selected from a set of minimally cross-hybridizing 4-mers that each differ from one another by three nucleotides. Such an embodiment gives a maximally sized set of  $9^3$ , or 729, 12-mers, "9" is number of oligonucleotides generated by the computer program of Appendix Ia of International patent application PCT/US96/09513, which assumes, as with the 10-mers, that only 3 of the 4 different types of nucleotides are used.

10 The set is described as "maximal" because the computer programs disclosed in International patent application PCT/US96/09513 provide the largest set for a given input (e.g. length, composition, difference in number of nucleotides between members). Additional minimally cross-hybridizing sets may be formed from subsets of such calculated sets.

When synthesized combinatorially, an oligonucleotide tag of the invention preferably

15 consists of a plurality of subunits, each subunit consisting of an oligonucleotide of 3 to 9 nucleotides in length wherein each subunit is selected from the same minimally cross-hybridizing set. In such embodiments, the number of oligonucleotide tags available depends on the number of subunits per tag and on the length of the subunits.

As used herein in reference to oligonucleotide tags and tag complements, the term

20 "repertoire" means the set of minimally cross-hybridizing set of oligonucleotides that make up the tags in a particular embodiment or the corresponding set of tag complements.

Preferably, in constructing a cDNA library where substantially all different cDNAs have different tags, a tag repertoire is employed whose complexity, or number of distinct tags, greatly exceeds the total number of mRNAs extracted from a cell or tissue sample. Preferably, the

25 complexity of the tag repertoire is at least 10 times that of the polynucleotide population; and more preferably, the complexity of the tag repertoire is at least 100 times that of the polynucleotide population. Below, a protocol is disclosed for cDNA library construction using a primer mixture that contains a full repertoire of exemplary 9-word tags. Such a mixture of tag-containing primers has a complexity of  $8^9$ , or about  $1.34 \times 10^8$ . As indicated by Winslow et al,

30 Nucleic Acids Research, 19: 3251-3253 (1991), mRNA for library construction can be extracted from as few as 10-100 mammalian cells. Since a single mammalian cell contains about  $5 \times 10^5$  copies of mRNA molecules of about  $3.4 \times 10^4$  different kinds, by standard techniques one can isolate the mRNA from about 100 cells, or (theoretically) about  $5 \times 10^7$  mRNA molecules. Comparing this number to the complexity of the primer mixture shows that without any

35 additional steps, and even assuming that mRNAs are converted into cDNAs with perfect efficiency (1% efficiency or less is more accurate), the cDNA library construction protocol results in a population containing no more than 37% of the total number of different tags. That is, without any overt sampling step at all, the protocol inherently generates a sample that

comprises 37%, or less, of the tag repertoire. The probability of obtaining a double under these conditions is about 5%, which is within the preferred range. With mRNA from 10 cells, the fraction of the tag repertoire sampled is reduced to only 3.7%, even assuming that all the processing steps take place at 100% efficiency. In fact, the efficiencies of the processing steps for constructing cDNA libraries are very low, a "rule of thumb" being that good library should contain about  $10^8$  cDNA clones from mRNA extracted from  $10^6$  mammalian cells.

Use of larger amounts of mRNA in the above protocol, or for larger amounts of polynucleotides in general, where the number of such molecules exceeds the complexity of the tag repertoire, a tag-polynucleotide conjugate mixture potentially contains every possible pairing of tags and types of mRNA or polynucleotide. In such cases, overt sampling may be implemented by removing a sample volume after a serial dilution of the starting mixture of tag-polynucleotide conjugates. The amount of dilution required depends on the amount of starting material and the efficiencies of the processing steps, which are readily estimated.

If mRNA were extracted from  $10^6$  cells (which would correspond to about 0.5  $\mu$ g of poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA), and if primers were present in about 10-100 fold concentration excess--as is called for in a typical protocol, e.g. Sambrook et al, Molecular Cloning, Second Edition, page 8.61 [10  $\mu$ L 1.8 kb mRNA at 1 mg/mL equals about  $1.68 \times 10^{-11}$  moles and 10  $\mu$ L 18-mer primer at 1 mg/mL equals about  $1.68 \times 10^{-9}$  moles], then the total number of tag-polynucleotide conjugates in a cDNA library would simply be equal to or less than the starting number of mRNAs, or about  $5 \times 10^{11}$  vectors containing tag-polynucleotide conjugates--again this assumes that each step in cDNA construction--first strand synthesis, second strand synthesis, ligation into a vector--occurs with perfect efficiency, which is a very conservative estimate. The actual number is significantly less.

If a sample of n tag-polynucleotide conjugates are randomly drawn from a reaction mixture--as could be effected by taking a sample volume, the probability of drawing conjugates having the same tag is described by the Poisson distribution,  $P(r) = e^{-\lambda} (\lambda)^r / r!$ , where r is the number of conjugates having the same tag and  $\lambda = np$ , where p is the probability of a given tag being selected. If  $n = 10^6$  and  $p = 1 / (1.34 \times 10^8)$ , then  $\lambda = .00746$  and  $P(2) = 2.76 \times 10^{-5}$ . Thus, a sample of one million molecules gives rise to an expected number of doubles well within the preferred range. Such a sample is readily obtained as follows: Assume that the  $5 \times 10^{11}$  mRNAs are perfectly converted into  $5 \times 10^{11}$  vectors with tag-cDNA conjugates as inserts and that the  $5 \times 10^{11}$  vectors are in a reaction solution having a volume of 100  $\mu$ L. Four 10-fold serial dilutions may be carried out by transferring 10  $\mu$ L from the original solution into a vessel containing 90  $\mu$ L of an appropriate buffer, such as TE. This process may be repeated for three additional dilutions to obtain a 100  $\mu$ L solution containing  $5 \times 10^5$  vector molecules per  $\mu$ L. A 2  $\mu$ L aliquot from this solution yields  $10^6$  vectors containing tag-cDNA conjugates as inserts. This sample is then amplified by straight forward transformation of a competent host cell followed by culturing.

Of course, as mentioned above, no step in the above process proceeds with perfect efficiency. In particular, when vectors are employed to amplify a sample of tag-polynucleotide conjugates, the step of transforming a host is very inefficient. Usually, no more than 1% of the vectors are taken up by the host and replicated. Thus, for such a method of amplification, even  
5 fewer dilutions would be required to obtain a sample of  $10^6$  conjugates.

A repertoire of oligonucleotide tags can be conjugated to a population of polynucleotides in a number of ways, including direct enzymatic ligation, amplification, e.g. via PCR, using primers containing the tag sequences, and the like. The initial ligating step produces a very large population of tag-polynucleotide conjugates such that a single tag is generally attached to many  
10 different polynucleotides. However, as noted above, by taking a sufficiently small sample of the conjugates, the probability of obtaining "doubles," i.e. the same tag on two different polynucleotides, can be made negligible. Generally, the larger the sample the greater the probability of obtaining a double. Thus, a design trade-off exists between selecting a large sample of tag-polynucleotide conjugates--which, for example, ensures adequate coverage of a  
15 target polynucleotide in a shotgun sequencing operation or adequate representation of a rapidly changing mRNA pool, and selecting a small sample which ensures that a minimal number of doubles will be present. In most embodiments, the presence of doubles merely adds an additional source of noise or, in the case of sequencing, a minor complication in scanning and signal processing, as microparticles giving multiple fluorescent signals can simply be ignored.

As used herein, the term "substantially all" in reference to attaching tags to molecules, especially polynucleotides, is meant to reflect the statistical nature of the sampling procedure employed to obtain a population of tag-molecule conjugates essentially free of doubles. The meaning of substantially all in terms of actual percentages of tag-molecule conjugates depends on how the tags are being employed. Preferably, for nucleic acid sequencing, substantially all  
20 means that at least eighty percent of the polynucleotides have unique tags attached. More preferably, it means that at least ninety percent of the polynucleotides have unique tags attached. Still more preferably, it means that at least ninety-five percent of the polynucleotides have unique tags attached. And, most preferably, it means that at least ninety-nine percent of the polynucleotides have unique tags attached.

Tags can be conjugated to cDNAs of existing libraries by standard cloning methods. cDNAs are excised from their existing vector, isolated, and then ligated into a vector containing a repertoire of tags. Preferably, the tag-containing vector is linearized by cleaving with two restriction enzymes so that the excised cDNAs can be ligated in a predetermined orientation. The concentration of the linearized tag-containing vector is in substantial excess over that of the  
30 cDNA inserts so that ligation provides an inherent sampling of tags.

A general method for exposing the single stranded tag after amplification involves digesting a target polynucleotide-containing conjugate with the 5'→3' exonuclease activity of T4 DNA polymerase, or a like enzyme, e.g. as described in Kuijper et al, Gene, 112: 147-155

(1992). When used in the presence of a single deoxynucleoside triphosphate, such a polymerase will cleave nucleotides from 3' recessed ends present on the non-template strand of a double stranded fragment until a complement of the single deoxynucleoside triphosphate is reached on the template strand. When such a nucleotide is reached the 5'→3' digestion effectively ceases, as the polymerase's extension activity adds nucleotides at a higher rate than the excision activity removes nucleotides. Consequently, single stranded tags constructed with three nucleotides are readily prepared for loading onto solid phase supports.

After the oligonucleotide tags are prepared for specific hybridization, e.g. by rendering them single stranded as described above, the polynucleotides are mixed with microparticles containing the complementary sequences of the tags under conditions that favor the formation of perfectly matched duplexes between the tags and their complements. There is extensive guidance in the literature for creating these conditions. Exemplary references providing such guidance include Wetmur, *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 26: 227-259 (1991); Sambrook et al, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Edition (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York, 1989); and the like. Preferably, the hybridization conditions are sufficiently stringent so that only perfectly matched sequences form stable duplexes. Under such conditions the polynucleotides specifically hybridized through their tags may be ligated to the complementary sequences attached to the microparticles. Finally, the microparticles are washed to remove polynucleotides with unligated and/or mismatched tags.

Preferably, for sequencing applications, standard CPG beads of diameter in the range of 20-50  $\mu\text{m}$  are loaded with about  $10^5$  polynucleotides, and glycidalmethacrylate (GMA) beads available from Bangs Laboratories (Carmel, IN) of diameter in the range of 5-10  $\mu\text{m}$  are loaded with a few tens of thousand polynucleotide, e.g.  $4 \times 10^4$  to  $6 \times 10^4$ , to a hundred thousand polynucleotides.

#### DNA Sequencing

Polynucleotides loaded onto microparticles may be simultaneously sequenced in the instant apparatus using a "base-by-base" DNA sequencing methodology. Such sequencing methodology permits the stepwise identification of a sequence of nucleotides in a target polynucleotide, usually one base at a time, through successive cycles of treatment and detection.

Base-by-base approaches are disclosed in the following references: Cheeseman, U.S. patent 5,302,509; Tsien et al, International application WO 91/06678; Rosenthal et al, International application WO 93/21340; Canard et al, *Gene*, 148: 1-6 (1994); Metzker et al, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22: 4259-4267 (1994); and the like. Preferably, the base-by-base approach disclosed by Brenner in U.S. patent 5,599,675 is used with the apparatus of the invention to sequence polynucleotides on a population of loaded microparticles disposed as a planar array in the flow chamber. Accordingly, Brenner, U.S. patent 5,599,675 is incorporated by reference. Preferably, the a population of loaded microparticles for sequencing includes at least ten thousand loaded microparticles; more preferably, such a population includes at least fifty thousand loaded



microparticles; and still more preferably, such a population includes at least one hundred thousand loaded microparticles.

Preferably, the sequencing method of Brenner (cited above) is employed in the embodiment disclosed in Albrecht et al International patent application PCT/US97/09472 which discloses the use of encoded adaptors. An encoded adaptor is a double stranded oligonucleotide comprising a protruding strand and an oligonucleotide tag selected from a minimally cross-hybridizing set of oligonucleotides. Encoded adaptors whose protruding strands form perfectly matched duplexes with the complementary protruding strands of the target polynucleotide are ligated. After ligation, the identity and ordering of the nucleotides in the protruding strands are determined, or "decoded," by specifically hybridizing a labeled tag complement to its corresponding tag on the ligated adaptor. Encoded adaptors may be used in an adaptor-based method of DNA sequencing that includes repeated cycles of ligation, identification, and cleavage, such as the method described in Brenner (cited above). Briefly, such a method comprises the following steps: (a) ligating an encoded adaptor to an end of a polynucleotide, the encoded adaptor having a nuclease recognition site of a nuclease whose cleavage site is separate from its recognition site; (b) identifying one or more nucleotides at the end of the polynucleotide by the identity of the encoded adaptor ligated thereto; (c) cleaving the polynucleotide with a nuclease recognizing the nuclease recognition site of the encoded adaptor such that the polynucleotide is shortened by one or more nucleotides; and (d) repeating said steps (a) through (c) until said nucleotide sequence of the polynucleotide is determined. In the identification step, successive sets of tag complements are specifically hybridized to the respective tags carried by encoded adaptors ligated to the ends of the target polynucleotides, as described above. The type and sequence of nucleotides in the protruding strands of the polynucleotides are identified by the label carried by the specifically hybridized tag complement and the set from which the tag complement came.

#### Construction and Sorting of cDNA Library for Signature Sequencing with Encoded Adaptors

In this example, a cDNA library is constructed in which an oligonucleotide tag consisting of 8 four-nucleotide "words" is attached to each cDNA. As described above, the repertoire of oligonucleotide tags of this size is sufficiently large (about  $10^8$ ) so that if the cDNAs are synthesized from a population of about  $10^6$  mRNAs, then there is a high probability that each cDNA will have a unique tag for sorting. After mRNA extraction, first strand synthesis is carried out in the presence of 5-Me-dCTP (to block certain cDNA restriction sites) and a biotinylated primer mixture containing the oligonucleotide tags. After conventional second strand synthesis, the tag-cDNA conjugates are cleaved with Dpn II (which is unaffected by the 5-Me-deoxycytosines), the biotinylated portions are separated from the reaction mixture using streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, and the tag-cDNA conjugates are recovered by cleaving them

from the magnetic beads via a Bsm BI site carried by the biotinylated primer. The Bsm BI-Dpn II fragment containing the tag-cDNA conjugate is then inserted into a plasmid and amplified. After isolation of the plasmids, tag-cDNA conjugates are amplified out of the plasmids by PCR in the presence of 5-Me-dCTP, using biotinylated and fluorescently labeled primers containing pre-defined restriction endonuclease sites. After affinity purification with streptavidin coated magnetic beads, the tag-cDNA conjugates are cleaved from the beads, treated with T4 DNA polymerase in the presence of dGTP to render the tags single stranded, and then combined with a repertoire of GMA beads having tag complements attached. After stringent hybridization and ligation, the GMA beads are sorted via FACS to produce an enriched population of GMA beads loaded with cDNAs. The enriched population of loaded GMA beads are immobilized in a planar array in a flow chamber where base-by-base sequence takes place using encoded adaptors, as disclosed in Albrecht et al, International patent application PCT/US97/09472.

Approximately 5 µg of poly(A<sup>+</sup>) mRNA is extracted from DBY746 yeast cells using conventional protocols. First and second strand cDNA synthesis is carried out by combining 100-150 pmoles of the following primer (SEQ ID NO: 1):

5'-biotin-ACTAATCGTCTCACTATTTAATTAA[W,W,W,G]<sub>8</sub>CC(T)<sub>18</sub>V-3'

with the poly(A<sup>+</sup>) mRNA using a Stratagene (La Jolla, CA) cDNA Synthesis Kit in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. This results in cDNAs whose first stand deoxycytosines are methylated at the 5-carbon position. In the above formula, "V" is G, C, or A, "[W,W,W,G]" is a four-nucleotide word selected from Table II of Brenner, International patent application PCT/US96/09513, the single underlined portion is a Bsm BI recognition site, and the double underlined portion is a Pac I recognition site. After size fractionation (GIBCO-BRL cDNA Size Fractionation Kit) using conventional protocols, the cDNAs are digested with Dpn II (New England Bioscience, Beverly, MA) using manufacturer's protocol and affinity purified with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (M-280 beads, Dynal A.S., Oslo, Norway). The DNA captured by the beads is digested with Bsm BI to release the tag-cDNA conjugates for cloning into a modified pBCSK<sup>-</sup> vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) using standard protocols. The pBCSK<sup>-</sup> vector is modified by adding a Bbs I site by inserting the following fragment (SEQ ID NO: 2) into the Kpn I/Eco RV digested vector.

CGAAGACCC  
3'-CATGGCTTCTGGGGATA-5'

Bsm BI/Dpn II digested tag-cDNA conjugate is inserted in the pBCSK<sup>-</sup> which is previously digested with Bbs I and Bam HI. After ligation, the vector is transfected into the manufacturer's recommended host for amplification.

After isolating the above pBCSK<sup>-</sup> vector from a standard plasmid miniprep, the tag-cDNA conjugates are amplified by PCR in the presence of 5-Me-dCTP using 20-mer primers complementary to vector sequences flanking the tag-cDNA insert. The "upstream" primer, i.e. adjacent to the tag, is biotinylated and the "downstream" primer, i.e. adjacent to the cDNA, is labeled with fluorescein. After amplification, the PCR product is affinity purified then cleaved with Pac I to release fluorescently labeled tag-cDNA conjugates. The tags of the conjugates are rendered single stranded by treating them with T4 DNA polymerase in the presence of dGTP. After the reaction is quenched, the tag-cDNA conjugate is purified by phenol-chloroform extraction and combined with 5.5 mm GMA beads carrying tag complements, each tag complement having a 5' phosphate. Hybridization is conducted under stringent conditions in the presence of a thermal stable ligase so that only tags forming perfectly matched duplexes with their complements are ligated. The GMA beads are washed and the loaded beads are concentrated by FACS sorting, using the fluorescently labeled cDNAs to identify loaded GMA beads. The tag-cDNA conjugates attached to the GMA beads are digested with Dpn II to remove the fluorescent label and treated with alkaline phosphatase to prepare the cDNAs for sequencing. That is, phosphatase is used to remove the 5' phosphate from the ends of the cDNAs to prevent unwanted cDNA-cDNA ligations by way of the palindromic Dpn II site.

The following cleavage adaptor (SEQ ID NO: 3) is ligated to the Dpn II-digested and phosphatase treated cDNAs:

5' -pGATCAGCTGCTGCAAATTT  
pTCGACGACGTTTAAA

After ligation, the 3' phosphate is removed by alkaline phosphatase, the 5' strand of the cDNA is treated with T4 DNA kinase, and the nick between the cleavage adaptor and cDNA is ligated. After cleavage by Bbv I, encoded adaptors are ligated to the ends of the cDNAs and the beads are ready for loading into the flow chamber.

Ligation of the adaptors to the target polynucleotide is carried out in a mixture consisting of 5 µl beads (20 mg), 3 µL NEB 10x ligase buffer, 5 µL adaptor mix (25 nM), 2.5 µL NEB T4 DNA ligase (2000 units/µL), and 14.5 µL distilled water. The mixture is incubated at 16°C for 30 minutes, after which the beads are washed 3 times in TE (pH 8.0).

After centrifugation and removal of TE, the 3' phosphates of the ligated adaptors are removed by treating the polynucleotide-bead mixture with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), using the manufacturer's protocol. After removal of the 3' phosphates, the CIP may be inactivated by proteolytic digestion, e.g. using Pronase<sup>TM</sup> (available from Boeringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN), or an equivalent protease, with the manufacturer's protocol. The polynucleotide-bead mixture is then washed, treated with a mixture of T4 polynucleotide kinase and T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) to add a 5' phosphate at the gap between the target polynucleotide and the adaptor, and to complete the

ligation of the adaptors to the target polynucleotide. The bead-polynucleotide mixture is then washed in TE, diluted to a concentration of approximately 100 thousand beads per  $\mu\text{L}$ , and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  of the resulting solution is loaded into a flow chamber with the help of the holders of Figure 4.

- 5 The top strands of the following 16 sets of 64 encoded adaptors (SEQ ID NO: 4 through SEQ ID NO: 19) are each separately synthesized on an automated DNA synthesizer (model 392 Applied Biosystems, Foster City) using standard methods. The bottom strand, which is the same for all adaptors, is synthesized separately then hybridized to the respective top strands:

<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>	<u>Encoded Adaptor</u>
4	5'-pANNNTACAGCTGCATCCCttggcgctgagg pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
5	5'-pNANNTACAGCTGCATCCCtgggcctgtaag pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
6	5'-pCNNNTACAGCTGCATCCCttgacgggtctc pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
7	5'-pNCNNTACAGCTGCATCCCtgcgcgcacagt pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
8	5'-pGNNNTACAGCTGCATCCCttcgccctcggac pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
9	5'-pNGNNTACAGCTGCATCCCtgatccgctagc pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
10	5'-pTNNNTACAGCTGCATCCCttccgaaccgcg pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'

- 11            5'-pNTNNTACAGCTGCATCCCTgaggggtag  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 12            5'-pNNANTACAGCTGCATCCCTtcccgctacac  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 13            5'-pNNNATACAGCTGCATCCCTgactccccgag  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 14            5'-pNNCNTACAGCTGCATCCCTgtgttgcgcg  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 15            5'-pNNNCTACAGCTGCATCCCTctacagcagcg  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 16            5'-pNNGNTACAGCTGCATCCCTgtcgcgctcgtt  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 17            5'-pNNNGTACAGCTGCATCCCTcggagcaacct  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 18            5'-pNNTNTACAGCTGCATCCCTgggtgaccgtag  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'
- 19            5'-pNNNTTACAGCTGCATCCCTccccctgtcgga  
                 pATGCACGCGTAGGG-5'

where N and p are as defined above, and the nucleotides indicated in lower case letters are the 12-mer oligonucleotide tags. Each tag differs from every other by 6 nucleotides. Equal molar quantities of each adaptor are combined in NEB #2 restriction buffer (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) to form a mixture at a concentration of 1000 pmol/ $\mu$ L.

- 5            Each of the 16 tag complements are separately synthesized as amino-derivatized oligonucleotides and are each labeled with a fluorescein molecule (using an NHS-ester of

fluorescein, available from Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) which is attached to the 5' end of the tag complement through a polyethylene glycol linker (Clontech Laboratories, Palo Alto, CA). The sequences of the tag complements are simply the 12-mer complements of the tags listed above.

5           A flow chamber of the design shown in Figures 2a and 2b is employed in association with an Olympus Optical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan) model BX60MF5 fluorescent microscope fitted with a model U-ULS75XE 75 watt Xenon arc lamp, a motorized filter wheel, a Ludl Electronic Products, Ltd. computer-controlled stage, and a Photometrics, Ltd. (Tucson, AZ) PXL CCD  
10       employed for exciting fluorescein and transmitting fluorescent signal to CCD camera (120). Microparticle positions are determined by top-lighting with broadband light from Xenon lamp (126) reduced by a factor of about  $10^{-4}$  with a neutral density filter. Fluorescent images are collected with about 2 minute exposure times.

          Height (204) of flow chamber (201) is selected to be 7  $\mu\text{m}$ , or approximately 140% of the  
15       diameter of the GMA beads. Width (210) of flow chamber (201) is selected so as to ensure that a 3x3 array of 9 image pixels will cover approximately 40-60% of a bead's image after 10x magnification (as illustrated in Figure 7). Thus, in order to capture images of tiles of about 100 thousand 5  $\mu\text{m}$  GMA beads, width (210) is selected to have a value of 1.7 mm. Length (212) is selected so that the flow chamber can hold from 1 to 10 tiles of about one hundred thousand 5  $\mu\text{m}$   
20       diameter beads each. The cross section (220) of inlet passage (214) matches that of the inlet tubing and gradually enlarges to match that of flow chamber (201) in the region of the planar cavity, i.e. the region holding the GMA beads on which analysis is performed. It is desirable to have a constant cross section through the planar cavity of flow chamber (201) to minimize the creation of non-uniform flow patterns, as might occur with sudden constrictions and/or expansions  
25       in cross section. Both body (218) and cover (216) of flow chamber (201) are glass, and the planar cavity and channels of body (218) are formed by standard chemical etching techniques. Cross section (222) of outlet passage (224) is selected to match the cross section of flow chamber (201) at dam (202).

          The fluidics system of Figure 5a which includes all valves, syringe pump (500), and  
30       Peltier block (152), is controlled by code written in LabVIEW 5.0 (National Instruments, Austin, TX) and run on a Compact Deskpro Pentium-based microprocessor, which is connected to the various components of the fluidics system by standard I/O circuit boards. Detection system (114) and overall control of the instrument is effected through a Sun Microsystems (Mountain View, CA) Sparcstation 5.

Three cycles of ligation, identification, and cleavage are carried out in flow chamber (201) to give the sequences of 12 nucleotides at the termini of each of approximately 500,000 cDNAs. That is, five tiles of GMA beads are analyzed in the following series of process steps:

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 5  | 1. Calibrate focal plane of GMA beads.              |
|    | 2. Hybridize decoder.                               |
|    | 3. Autofocus on tile 1.                             |
|    | 4. Set focus to bead centers.                       |
|    | 5. Collect fluorescent image.                       |
| 10 | 6. Set focus to bead focal plane (scatter centers). |
|    | 7. Collect image.                                   |
|    | 8. Repeat steps 4-7 for remaining tiles.            |
|    | 9. Wash.  |
|    | 10. Repeat steps 2-9 for remaining decoders.        |
| 15 | 11. Cleave encoded adaptor.                         |
|    | 12. Wash.   |
|    | 13. Ligate top strand of next encoded adaptor.      |
|    | 14. Wash.   |
|    | 15. Repeat steps 13-14.                             |
| 20 | 16. Kinase bottom strand of encoded adaptor.        |
|    | 17. Wash.   |
|    | 18. Ligate bottom strand of encoded adaptor.        |
|    | 19. Wash.   |
|    | 20. Repeat steps 2-9.                               |
| 25 | 21. Repeat steps 11-19 for next encoded adaptor.    |

In steps 2-9, nucleotides of the cDNAs are identified by hybridizing tag complements to the encoded adaptors. Specifically hybridized tag complements are detected by exciting their fluorescent labels with illumination beam (110) from Xenon arc lamp (126). In step 13, encoded adaptors and T4 DNA ligase (Promega, Madison, WI) at about 0.75 units per  $\mu\text{L}$  are passed through the flow chamber at a flow rate of about 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute for about 20-30 minutes at 16°C, after which wash of step 14 is executed by flowing, in succession, a solution of Pronase<sup>TM</sup> (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN), a salt wash solution, and an ethanol wash solution through the flow chamber, all with the same flow rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute and for durations of 15, 10, and 10 minutes, respectively. The salt wash solution is 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), and the ethanol wash solution is 3:1 (v/v) solution of the salt wash solution and ethanol. The ligation and wash steps 13 and 14 are repeated once, after which the adaptors and the cDNAs are prepared for second strand ligation by passing T4 DNA kinase (New England

- Bioscience, Beverly, MA) at 7 units per  $\mu\text{L}$  through the flow chamber at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a flow rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute for 15-20 minutes. Ligation of the second strand is carried out by flowing T4 DNA ligase (.75 units per mL, Promega) through the flow chamber for 20-30 minutes at a rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute, followed by Pronase<sup>TM</sup> treatment and washing as described above. Tag
- 5 complements at 25 nM concentration are passed through the flow chamber at a flow rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute for 10 minutes at  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , after which the fluorescent labels carried by the tag complements are illuminated and fluorescence is collected. The tag complements are melted from the encoded adaptors by passing NEB #2 restriction buffer with 3 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$  through the flow
- 10 chamber at a flow rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute at  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 minutes. Encoded adaptors are cleaved from the cDNAs by passing Bbv I (New England Biosciences, Beverly, MA) at 1 unit/ $\mu\text{L}$  at a flow rate of 1-2  $\mu\text{L}$  per minute for 20 minutes at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ , followed by Pronase<sup>TM</sup> treatment and washing, as described above.



## SEQUENCE LISTING

## 5 1) GENERAL INFORMATION:

(i) APPLICANT: LYNX Therapeutics, Inc.

10 (ii) TITLE OF INVENTION: System and Apparatus for Sequential  
Processing of Analytes

(iii) NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 19

15 (iv) CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

(A) ADDRESSEE: Dehlinger & Associates

(B) STREET: P.O. Box 60850

(C) CITY: Palo Alto

(D) STATE: California

20 (E) COUNTRY: USA

(F) ZIP: 94306

(v) COMPUTER READABLE FORM:

(A) MEDIUM TYPE: 3.5 inch diskette

25 (B) COMPUTER: IBM compatible

(C) OPERATING SYSTEM: Windows 95

(D) SOFTWARE: Microsoft Word for Windows, vers. 7.0

(vi) CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:

30 (A) APPLICATION NUMBER:

(B) FILING DATE:

(C) CLASSIFICATION:

(vii) PRIOR APPLICATION DATA:

35 (A) APPLICATION NUMBER: 08/862,610

(B) FILING DATE: 23-MAY-97

(viii) ATTORNEY/AGENT INFORMATION:

40 (A) NAME: Vincent M. Powers

(B) REGISTRATION NUMBER: 36,246

(C) REFERENCE/DOCKET NUMBER: 5525-0035.41

(ix) TELECOMMUNICATION INFORMATION:

45 (A) TELEPHONE: (650) 324-0880

(B) TELEFAX: (650) 324-0960

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 1:

50 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

(A) LENGTH: 78 nucleotides

(B) TYPE: nucleic acid

(C) STRANDEDNESS: single

(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

55

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 1:

ACTAATCGTC TCACTATTTA ATTAANNNNN NNNNNNNNNN 40

5 NNNNNNNNNN NNNNNNNGGT TTTTTTTTTT TTTTTTTV 78

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 2:

10 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
    (A) LENGTH: 17 nucleotides  
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

15 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 2:

ATAGGGGTCT TCGGTAC 17

20 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 3:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
    (A) LENGTH: 19 nucleotides  
25 (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 3:

30 GATCAGCTGC TGCAAATTT 19

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 4:

35 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
    (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

40 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 4:

ANNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTT GGCGCTGAGG 30

45 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 5:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
50 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 5:

NANNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG GGCCTGTAAG

30

5

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 6:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 10 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

15 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 6:

CNNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTT GACGGGTCTC

30

20

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 7:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 25 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 7:

NCNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG CCCGCACAGT

30

30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 8:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 35 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 8:

40

GNNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTT CGCCTCGGAC

30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 9:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 45 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

50

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 9:

NGNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG ATCCGCTAGC 30

5 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 10:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

10 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 10:

15 TNNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTT CCGAACCCGC 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 11:

20 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

25 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 11:

NTNNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG AGGGGGATAG 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 12:

30 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
35 (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 12:

NNANTACAGC TGCATCCCTT CCCGCTACAC 30

40 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 13:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

45 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

50 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 13:

NNNATACAGC TGCATCCCTG ACTCCCCGAG 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 14:

- 5 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

10 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 14:

NNCNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG TGTTGCGCGG 30

15 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 15:

- 20 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 15:

25 NNNCTACAGC TGCATCCCTC TACAGCAGCG 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 16:

- 30 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

35 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 16:

NNGNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG TCGCGTCGTT 30

40 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 17:

- 45 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 17:

NNNGTACAGC TGCATCCCTC GGAGCAACCT 30

5

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 18:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 10 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 18:

15

NNTNTACAGC TGCATCCCTG GTGACCGTAG 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 19:

20

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- 25 (A) LENGTH: 30 nucleotides  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear


(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 19:

NNNTTACAGC TGCATCCCTC CCCTGTCGGA 30

We claim:

1. An apparatus for sequential processing of a plurality of analytes, the apparatus comprising:  
5 a flow chamber for disposing a population of microparticles in a planar array, each microparticle of a plurality of microparticles of the population having an analyte anchored thereto; fluidic means for sequentially delivering processing reagents from one or more reservoirs to the flow chamber so that the analytes anchored to the plurality of microparticles are sequentially  
10 exposed to the processing reagents; and detection means for detecting a sequence of optical signals from each microparticle of the plurality, each optical signal of the sequence being indicative of an interaction between a processing reagent and the analyte anchored thereto.
- 15 2. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said flow chamber has an axis and comprises in sequence along the axis an inlet, a planar cavity, a dam, and an outlet such that said processing reagents enter said flow chamber through the inlet and flow in the direction of the axis through the planar cavity and to the outlet.
- 20 3. The apparatus of claim 1 or 2 claim wherein said planar cavity of said flow chamber further has a optically transmissive ceiling and a floor, the optically transmissive ceiling and the floor being parallel to one another and the floor having a plurality of parallel ridges, the parallel ridges being disposed parallel to said axis of said flow chamber, and the parallel ridges being spaced so that said microparticles in said planar cavity form rows between the parallel ridges.
- 25 4. The apparatus of any preceding claim wherein said detection means further comprises an optical train for focusing an image of said optical signals from said planar array of said microparticles onto an electro-optic detector for generating a digital image of said optical signals, and recording means for recording a plurality of digital images of said planar array of said  
30 microparticles.
5. The apparatus of claim 4 wherein said detection means further comprises signal tracking means for correlating said optical signals from each of said microparticles in each of said digital images to form for each said microparticle of said plurality a sequence of said optical signals.
- 35 6. The apparatus of claim 5 wherein said electro-optical detector is a CCD camera.
7. The apparatus of any preceding claim wherein said analyte is DNA and wherein said optical signal is a fluorescent signal.


1/10




**Fig. 1A**



2/10



**Fig. 1B**



4/10






Fig. 2C



**Fig. 3A**




**Fig. 3B**




**Fig. 3C**



**Fig. 3D**





**Fig. 4**




**Fig. 5**


8/10

**Fig. 6A****Fig. 6B**

9/10

**Fig. 7**



**Fig. 8**

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

Case No. 815

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

### SYSTEM AND APPARATUS FOR SEQUENTIAL PROCESSING OF ANALYTES

the specification of which:

— is attached hereto;  
— was filed on:  
as application serial no:  
with amendments on:

I hereby state and I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1.56(a)

#### Prior Foreign Application(s)

I hereby claim foreign priority benefit under Title 35, United States Code, section 119 of the foreign application(s) for patent listed below:

Country	Application No.	Filing Date (day.month.year)	Grant or Issue Date (day.month.year)	Priority Claimed (day.month.year)
International Application	PCT/US98/11224	22 May 1998		23 May 1997

#### Prior United States Application(s)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date (day.month.year)	Status
08/862,610	23 May 1997	Pending

**POWER OF ATTORNEY:** As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

3

Stephen C. Macevicz  
Peter J. Dehlinger  
Vince Powers

Reg. No. 30,285  
Reg. No. 28,006  
Reg. No. 36,246

<b>SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:</b>  <u>Stephen C. Macevicz</u> <u>Lynx Therapeutics, Inc.</u> <u>3832 Bay Center Place</u> <u>Hayward, CA 94545</u>	<b>DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:</b>  Stephen C. Macevicz at (510) 670-9365  Office Telefax: (510) 670-9302
--	--

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Signature of  
first or sole inventor:

Printed Name: John Bridgham

Residence: Hillsborough, California CA

Post Office Address: 3832 Bay Center Place  
Hayward, CA 94545

Date:

7/29/98

Citizenship: United States

Signature of  
second inventor:

Printed Name: Kevin Corcoran

Residence: Fremont, California CA

Post Office Address: 3832 Bay Center Place  
Hayward, CA 94545

Date:

7/29/98

Citizenship: United States

Case No. 815

Signature of  
third inventor:

Printed Name:

George Goida

Residence:

El Granada, California

Date:

7/29/98

Citizenship:

**United States**

Post Office Address:

**3832 Bay Center Place  
Hayward, CA 94545**

Signature of  
fourth inventor:

Printed Name:

Michael C. Pallas

Residence:

San Bruno, California

Date:

7/29/98

Citizenship:

**United States**

Post Office Address:

**408 Oak Avenue  
San Bruno, CA 94066**

Signature of  
fifth inventor:

Printed Name:

Sydney Brenner

Residence:

Cambridge, ENGLAND

Date:

29 July 1998

Citizenship:

**United Kingdom**

Post Office Address:

**17 B St. Edwards Passage  
Cambridge CB2 3PJ  
ENGLAND**